

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,340		02/06/2004	Asutosh Nigam	8500-0256.10	5320
23980	759	02/08/2005		EXAMINER	
REED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP				JACKSON, MONIQUE R	
	800 MENLO AVENUE, SUITE 210 MENLO PARK. CA 94025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1773	
				DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		il.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Antique Occurrence	10/774,340	NIGAM, ASUTOSH
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
7. 444.00 0475 44.	Monique R Jackson	1773
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	vn from consideration. election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the ledge of the led	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
	•	
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(DTO 412)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Application/Control Number: 10/774,340

Art Unit: 1773

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-40 and 47-55, drawn to a process of producing an image, classified in

Page 2

class 427, subclass 66.

II. Claims 41-46 and 56-62, drawn to a coated substrate, classified in class 428,

subclass 457.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions

are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the

process forms a substrate comprising a recording liquid however the product does not require a

recording liquid and can be made by a different process.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed opaque coating composition:

a. the combination of a monomeric polyacid and a monomeric polybase

b. the combination of a monomeric polyacid and a polymeric polybase

c. the combination of a polymeric polyacid and a monomeric polybase

The following further election is required:

Application/Control Number: 10/774,340

Art Unit: 1773

In addition to the election above, one compound must be selected for each of the compounds (i.e. monomeric polyacid, monomeric polybase, polymeric polybase, polymer polyacid) from the various compounds instantly claimed.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-4, 6, 7 and 21-62 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1773

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique R Jackson whose telephone number is 571-272-1508. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays, 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on 571-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Monique R. Jackson

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1700

January 26, 2005